

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAME		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/821,551	04/08/2004	Kerry Berland	09771110-0010	1331		
26263	7590 07/31/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER			
SONNENS	CHEIN NATH & ROSEN	PATIDAR	PATIDAR, JAY M			
P.O. BOX 00 WACKER D	61080 DRIVE STATION, SEARS T	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	IL 60606-1080	2862				
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/200	DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/821,551		BERLAND ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Jay M. Patidar		2862				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ars on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MISSIONS OF THE MISSION O	MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS Control of the control of	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	`								
. 1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 03 Ma	v 2006.						
2a) □	•	·							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
-/	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · ·	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) <u>r-s</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
-	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
,	on Papers		·			7			
	•	Tvominor							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
,	•	.o by the Lxa	irimier. 140te tir	s attached Office	Action of lonner	0-132.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) [] Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (•	_	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date	r PTO/SB/08)		Notice of Informal P Other:	atent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Page 2

1. This communication is in response to applicants response filed on May 3, 2006.

2. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on May 3, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 4-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract does not set forth the nature and gist of the invention.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the hand-

Art Unit: 2862

held transmitter with a single enclosure that plugs into a receptacle as set forth in claims 1 and 3 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s).

No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,551

Art Unit: 2862

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 4

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is vague as to how a transmitter creates a pulse; how it trips the interrupter; how the transmitter performs a test of determining whether the receptacle is wired properly; the structural relationship among all elements is unclear; the detail circuit of the transmitter is not clear as well; please note that anyone can short circuit the receptacle that is electrically coupled to an interrupter through a branch circuit and determine if interrupter is tripped or not and thus determine if it is wired properly; what determines whether the receptacle is wired properly; how it is known if it is wired properly; the scope of the claim is indefinite and thus can not be determined from the language of the claim;

In claim 2, it is vague as to what a portion of a circuit is; the phrase "is common to the circuit effective to test the art fault circuit interrupter" is vague and thus not understood; the scope of the claim can not be determined from the language of the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,551 Page 5

Art Unit: 2862

The claims not specifically addressed share the indefiniteness as they depend from rejected base claims.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 (insofar as understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rokita et al. (5,812,352).

As to claims 1-2, Rokita discloses a hand-held transmitter 1 that plugs into a receptacle electrically coupled to a selected branch circuit; the transmitter creates a pulse on the circuit (col. 3, lines 1-15) and determines whether the receptacle is wired properly (fig. 1) and test the circuit interrupter.

Aş to claim 3, the hand-held transmitter 1 is contained in a single enclosure (fig. 1).

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,551

Art Unit: 2862

A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Page 6

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3,8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,166,532 and claims 14,36,41,43 and 47, of U.S. Patent No. 6,844,712.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of claims of an instant application encompasses the boundaries of the patented claims.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note PTO-892.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,551

Art Unit: 2862

Page 7

10. Applicant is requested to recite all references of parent cases on IDS, PTO-1449.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 571-272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2862

Jay M. Patidar Primary Examiner Art Unit 2862

Email: <u>Jay.Patidar@USPTO.gov</u>

July 20, 2006